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## Spreadsheet Description

- The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against Buoyant uplift of the LWM

Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load and will not experience any shearing at joints
2) The LWM structure will be submerged during the design event.
3) Negative buoyancy is uplift, positive numbers equals downward.
4) Ballast material remains intact and is not scoured out.
5) The uplift due to racking material is evenly dividing among all layers.
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## FBD and Equations:



```
FOS施 = buoyancy factor of safety
```

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design buoyancy factor of safety $\left(\mathrm{FOS}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".

| Public Safety Risk | Property Damage Risk | Stability Design Flow Criteria | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {atamg }}$ | FOS ${ }_{\text {bospen }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {netera }} \\ & \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {overaming }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10-year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

1. Large Wood Material Force - $\operatorname{Dry}$ ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {wiwal }}$ )

$$
F_{L W M d}=V_{L W M d} * \gamma_{w o o d}
$$

Equation 3
$V_{L \text { LWMy }}=$ volume of dry large wood material
Comment: Assumed to be zero because structure assumed to be submerged during design event.

## 2. Boulder Ballast Force ( $F_{\text {boulder }}$ )

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ |  |  | Number of submerged boulders (from design) | $F_{\text {boulder }}=F_{\text {bouldersub }}+F_{\text {boulderdry }}$ | Equation 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ | 2.5 | ft | Effective diameter of submerged boulder (ft, from spec) | $\begin{aligned} & F_{\text {bouldersub }}=N_{\text {boulder sub }} * \frac{\pi}{*} * d_{\text {bouldersub }}^{3} *\left(\gamma_{\text {boulder }}-\gamma_{w}\right) \\ & N_{\text {bouldersub }}=\text { number of submerged boulders } \\ & d_{\text {bouldersub }}=\text { effective diameter of submerged boulders } \\ & \gamma_{\text {boulder }}=\text { unit weight of boulders } \end{aligned}$ | Equation 6 |
| $\gamma_{\text {boulder }}$ | 146 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | unit weight of boulders (Table 5) |  |  |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | unit weight of water |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ |  | lb | Eqn. 6 | $F_{\text {boulderdry }}=N_{\text {boulderdry }} * \frac{\pi}{6} * d_{\text {boulderdry }}^{3} * \gamma_{\text {boulder }}$ | Equation 7 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ |  |  | Number of dry boulders (from detail) | $N_{\text {boulderdry }}=$ number of unsubmerged boulders <br> $d_{\text {boulderdry }}=$ effective diameter of unsubmerged boulders |  |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ | 2.5 | ft | Effective diameter of dry boulder (ft, from spec) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ |  | Ib | Eqn. 7 |  |  |
| $F_{\text {boulder }}$ |  | lb | Eqn. 5 |  |  |

Comment: The intent is design without the use of boulders so it is assumed no boulders are used.
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## 3. Soil Backfill Force ( $F_{\text {soil }}$ )

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of Type 1 buried logs (from detail) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leb1 | 26.5 | ft | Average embedded length of Type 1 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.5 | ft | Average diameter of Type 1 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub1 }}$ | 3 | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soilsub1 }}$ | 239 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soildry1 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soildry1 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of Type 2 buried logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eb} 2}$ |  | ft | Average embedded length of Type 2 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 2 | ft | Average diameter of Type 2 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub2 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type $2 \log$ (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soilsub2 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type $2 \log$ (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soildry2 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soildry2 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ | 3 |  | Number of Type 3 buried logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb3 }}$ |  | ft | Average embedded length of Type 3 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 1 | ft | Average diameter of Type 3 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub3 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soilsub3 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soildry3 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {soildry }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 126 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Specific Gravity of bank/backfill material (Table 5) |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| SG ${ }_{\text {rock }}$ | 2.64 |  | Specific Gravity of Rock (Using unit weight of bedrock from Table 5) |
| e | 0.31 |  | Eqn. 14 |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 141 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 13 |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ soil | 78.3 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 12 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}$ | 18,668 | Ib | Eqn. 8 |

$F_{\text {soil }}=\sum_{i}^{n} V_{\text {soilsub }_{i}} * \gamma_{\text {soil }_{\prime}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+V_{\text {soildry }_{i}} * \gamma_{\text {soll }}$
Equation 8
$V_{\text {soilsub }_{i}}=L_{\text {eb }_{i}} d_{\text {bole }_{i}} h_{\text {soilsub }_{i}}$
$V_{\text {soilsubi }}=$ volume of submerged soil above $\log i$
$L_{\text {ebi }}=$ embedded length of $\log i$
$d_{\text {bolei }}=$ bole diameter of log $i$
$h_{\text {soilsubi }}=$ height of submerged soil above log $i$
$V_{\text {soildry }_{i}}=L_{e b_{i}} d_{\text {bole }_{i}} h_{\text {soildry }}^{i}$
Equation 10
$V_{\text {soildryi }}=$ volume of dry soil above log $i$
$h_{\text {soildryi }}=$ height of dry soil above $\log i$

$$
\gamma_{\text {soil }}=\left(99.2+18.6 * \log \left(d_{50}\right)\right)
$$

Equation 11
$d_{50}=$ median grain size in millimeters
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}^{\prime}=\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}$
Equation 12
$\gamma_{\text {sat }}=\frac{\left(S G_{r o c k}+e\right) * \gamma_{w}}{1+e}$
Equation 13
$e=\frac{s G_{\text {rock }} * \gamma_{w}}{\gamma_{\text {soil }}}-1$
Equation 14
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## 4. Pile Skin Friction

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {piles }}$ |  |  | Number of piles (Design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {piles }}$ | 0.5 | ft | Diameter of piles (Design) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {piles }}$ | 7.5 | ft | Embedded length of piles (Design) |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 1 |  | Coefficient of lateral earth pressure ( 0.5 to 1.5 depending on soil and density) |
| Placement Method | Driven or Vibrated |  | Method of pile placement |
| Placement Multiplier | 1 |  | See RBDG (P. 52) |
| Pile <br> Placement <br> Location | Bed |  | Bed or Bank |
| soil | 0.72 | rad | Internal angle of friction of soils (Table 5) |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 137 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Specific Weight of Soil |
| e | 0.20 |  | Eqn. 14 |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 148 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 13 |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
|  | 638 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Eqn 16 |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of wood |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {piles-v }}$ |  | lb | Eqn 15 |

$F_{\text {piles-v }}=N_{\text {piles }} * \pi * d_{\text {piles }} * L_{\text {piles }}\left(k_{s} * \tan \frac{2}{3} \emptyset * \sigma^{\prime}+\frac{d_{\text {ptes }}}{4} *\left(\gamma_{\text {wood }}-\gamma_{w}\right)\right)$
$N_{\text {piles }}=$ number of piles
$d_{\text {piles }}=$ diameter of piles
$L_{\text {piles }}=$ embedded length of piles
$k_{s}=$ coefficient of lateral earth pressure ( 0.5 to 1.5 depending on soil and
density)
$\phi=$ internal angle of friction of soils
$\sigma^{\prime}=L_{\text {piles }} *\left(\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}\right) \quad$ Equation 16

Assumptions:
${ }^{*} k_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
** This calculation is based on the assumption that piles are driven or vibrated into place. If piles are drilled or excavated, the associated coefficicient of lateral earth pressures shall be approx. $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of the driven value, respectively.
*** For use in buoyancy calculations, piles must be mechanically fastened.
**** Top 2' of pile embedment disregarded for calculation to account for vortex shedding.
5. Large Wood Material Force - Submerged ( $F_{\text {wMs }}$ )
$F_{L W M A}=V_{L W M s} *\left(\gamma_{\text {wood }}-\gamma_{w}\right)$
$V_{\text {Lwns }}=$ volume of submerged large wood material
$\gamma_{\text {wood }}=$ unit weight of wood
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of water

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of log type 1 (from detail) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {log1 }}$ | 40 | ft | Length of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.5 | ft | Diameter of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rw1 }}$ | 3.00 | ft | Diameter of rootwad of log type 1 <br> (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {LWMs1 }}$ | 156 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM1 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb2 }}$ | 25 | ft | Length of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rw2 }}$ | 4 | ft | Diameter of rootwad of log type 2 <br> (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {LWMs2 }}$ | 150 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb3 }}$ | 15 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Length of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 0.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 3 (from detail) |


| $\gamma_{s a t}=\frac{\left(S G_{\text {rock }}+e\right) \gamma_{\psi}}{1+e}$ | Equation 13 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $e=\frac{S C_{\text {rack }} \psi_{\psi}}{\gamma_{\text {moll }}}-1$ | Equation 14 |

Volume of Rootwad
National Large Wood Manual. 2016
Equation 6-4 (p. 6-38)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rw}}=\pi^{*} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} / 3$
$\pi^{*}\left(2 d_{\text {bole }}\right)^{*}\left(1 / 2 d_{\mathrm{rw}}\right)^{2} / 3$
$t_{k}=$ Thickness of rootwad measured in direction parallel to trunk
$=4$ times the radius of the $\log \left(4 r_{k}\right.$ or
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}=$
Radius of rootwad
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| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{rw3}}$ |  | ft | Diameter of rootwad of log type 3 <br> (from detail) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{LWMs} 3}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM3 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{LWMs}}$ | 312 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33.0 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of logs |
| $\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{LWMs}}$ | $-9,165$ | lb | Eqn. 3 |

## 6. Lift Forces ( $F_{1}$ )

| $C_{L}$ | 0.45 |  | Lift Coefficient |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A_{L W M}$ | 120 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Calc'd in Drag Forces |
| $\gamma_{w}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | upstream velocity (from model) |
| g | 32.2 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $-\mathbf{2 , 2 1 1}$ | lb | Eqn. 4 |

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Analyst: } \text { ASD } \\ &\end{aligned}$
Latest Revision:
2/27/2023
$=2.5$ times the radius of the $\log \left(2.5 r_{k}\right.$ or $1.25 \mathrm{~d}_{\text {bole }}$ ) or $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{rw}}$ specified

## $F_{L}=-\frac{c_{L} * A_{L W M} * Y_{W} * U_{O}^{2}}{2 * g}$

$c_{L}=$ lift coefficient
$A_{\text {IWM }}=$ area of large woody material perpendicular to flow $u_{u}=$ upstream channel velocity at design event $g=a c c e l e r a t i o n ~ d u e ~ t o ~ g r a v i t y ~$

Comment: Lift forces neglected per Section 6.4.2 of BOR Risk Based Design Guidelines

## Factor of Safety

| $F O S_{b}=$ | $\left(F_{\text {LMDd }}+F_{\text {boulders }}+F_{\text {soil }}+F_{\text {piles-v }}\right) /\left(F_{\text {LWMs }}+F_{L}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMd }}$ | lb | Assumed Zero |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {boulder }}$ | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}$ | 18,668 lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {piles-v }}$ | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMs }}$ | $-9,165 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |
| $F_{L}$ | -2,211 lb |  |
| $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {b }}$ | 1.64 | STABLE FOR BUOYANCY |

Summary Comments:
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## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against LWM sliding

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load and will not experience any shearing at joints.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event.
4) Channel velocity $\left(V_{c}\right)$ taken from hydraulic model.
5) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings.
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## FBD and Equations:

$$
F O S_{\text {sliding }}=\frac{\left|F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{\text {pthes }-h}+F_{\text {passive }}\right|}{F_{d}+F_{\text {hu }}+F_{i}}
$$

Equation 41

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Sliding Factor of Safety ( $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {sliding }}$ ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".


## Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety Risk | Property Damage Risk | Stability Design Flow Criteria | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {stan; }}$ | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {boxper }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {mateen }} \\ \mathrm{FOS} \text { cumantines } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100-jear | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10-year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

## 1. Drag Force (Fd)

| $Y_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 4.50 | ft | Upstream water depth |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| hdebris | 6 | ft | Debris height (incl. accumulation) |  |
| wdebris | 20 | ft | Debris width (incl. accumulation) |  |
| Debris Shape | Rectangle |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{wM}$ | 120 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Wetted area of LWM |  |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.40 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |  |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 6.50 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | Velocity from Model |  |
| g | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 0}$ | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Acceleration due to gravity |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Debris area |  |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\text {channel }}$ | 60 | ft | Channel width |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 1.50 |  | NLWM Worst Case |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 7369 | lb | Eqn 19 |  |

$F_{d}=\frac{C_{D} * A_{L W M} * \gamma_{w} * U_{c}^{2}}{2 * g}$ Equation 19
$F_{d}=$ drag force
$C_{d}=$ drag coefficient
$A_{\text {Iwm }}=$ area of wetted debris based on the upstream water surface
elevation projected normal to flow direction and the potential drift
accumulation
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of water
$U_{c}=$ velocity in contracted section
$g=$ acceleration due to gravity

Cd can be assumed 0.9 when fully submerged, 1.5 when WSEL withii
$C_{d-\text { applied }}=\frac{C_{d}}{(1-B)^{2}}$

## Sliding Calculations

Project: Tucannon
Project No.: Project Number

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Analyst: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { ASD } \\
\text { Calculations Checked By: } \\
\text { Latest Revision: } \\
\text { ALJ } \\
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\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Impact Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {debris }}$ | 40 | ft | Length of debris member (Design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {boledebris }}$ | 1.5 | ft | Bole diameter of debris member (Design) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rwdebris }}$ | 4 | ft | Rootwad diameter of debris member (Design) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {debris }}$ | 83 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of debris |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of wood |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\text {debris }}$ | 2,747 | lb | weight of debris |
| g | 32.2 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Acceleration due to gravity |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {channel }}$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | Velocity from Model |
| $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | 0.03 | sec | Impact Interval ( 0.03 sec recommended) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{i}$ | 0.8 |  | Coefficient of importance (from Table 6) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 0.8 |  | Coefficient of orientation |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.875 |  | Figure 11 (need water depth from model) |
| Degree of Screening or Sheltering Upstream | Limited upstream screening, flow path 20' wide |  | ASCE 7-05 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.6 |  | ASCE 7-05 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.8 |  | Response ratio for impulsive loads |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 7,805 | lb | Eqn 30 |

Equation 30

Assumption:
*Largest impact force would be generated by structure being struck by floating large key member. For impact calculation, assuming 18" diameter, 30' long member with rootwad impacts structure.
**See Section 6.3.3 of LWM RBDG (P. 44) for debris loading sizing.
|4. Friction Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ )

| $\phi_{\text {bed }}$ | 0.72 | radians | Calculated for streambed material (small cobble) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bed | 0.87 |  | Eqn 32 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMd }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {boulder }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}$ | 18668 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {piles-v }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMs }}$ | -9165 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | -2211 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 7,293 | Ib | Eqn 17 |
| $F_{f}$ | -6,340 | lb | Eqn 31 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{f}=-\mu_{\text {bed }} *\left(F_{b}-F_{\text {piles-v }}\right) \\
& F_{f}=\text { force due to frictional resistance } \\
& F_{0}-F_{\text {pivesv }}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mu_{b e d}=\tan \emptyset
$$

Equation 32

$$
F_{b}=F_{L W M s}+F_{L W M d}+F_{L}+F_{\text {boulder }}+F_{\text {soil }}+F_{\text {piles-v }}
$$

Note:
*If buoyancy forces are less than vertical pile forces (Fb-Fpiles-v<0), then friction force $=\mathbf{0}$.

## Sliding Calculations
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## 5. Passive Forces ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {nassiva }}$ )

| $\phi_{\text {bank }}$ | 0.66 | radians | Calculated for bank material (very course gravel) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 4.20 |  | Eqn 34 |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 126 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of soil |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 141 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Previously calculated for buoyancy calcs |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of log type 1 (from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }_{1}{ }^{\text {"* }}$ | Perpendicular |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb1 }}$ | 26.5 | ft | Length of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.5 | ft | Diameter of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub1 }}$ | 3 | ft | Depth of submerged soil above log 1 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {dry1 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above log 1 |
| $\sigma_{v 1}$ | 235 | lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} 1} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {eb } 1}{ }^{*} \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 18,668 | Ib |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of log type 2 (from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {"* }}$ | Parallel |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb2 }}$ |  | ft | Length of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 2 | ft | Diameter of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub2 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of submerged soil above log 2 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {dry2 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above $\log 2$ |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} 2}$ |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v2}} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {eb2 } 2} * \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ |  | Ib |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ | 3 |  | Number of log type 3(from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {** }}$ | Perpendicular |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb3 }}$ |  | ft | Length of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 1 | ft | Diameter of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub3 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of submerged soil above $\log 3$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above $\log 3$ |
| $\sigma^{*}$ |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v3}} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {eb } 3}{ }^{*} \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ |  | Ib |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $F_{\text {passive }}$ | -39,238 | Ib | Eqn 31 |


| $F_{\text {passive }}=-0.5 * K_{p} * \sum_{i}^{n} \sigma_{v_{l}} * L_{e m_{l}} * d_{l o g_{i}}$ | Equ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $K_{p}=\frac{1+\sin \phi}{1-\sin \phi}$ | Equ |
| $\sigma_{v_{i}}=D_{\text {sub }}{ }^{*} *\left(\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{\text {water }}\right)+D_{\text {dry }}{ }^{*} * \gamma_{\text {soll }}$ | Equ |
| $=$ depth of submerged soil above log $i$ |  |
| $=$ depth of dry soil above $\log i$ |  |
| $=$ embedded length of $\log i$ |  |
| = diameter of $\log i$ |  |

## Sliding Calculations

Project:
Project No.:

Tucannon
Project Number
\(\begin{aligned} Analyst: \& \begin{array}{l}ASD <br>
Calculations Checked By: <br>

Latest Revision:\end{array}\)|  ALJ  |
| :--- |
| $\# \# \# \# \# \# \# \#$ |\end{aligned}


$N_{\text {piex }}=$ number of piles
$L_{\text {pil }}=$ length of pile embedded below potential scour depth
$\gamma_{e}=\gamma_{s}-\gamma_{w} \quad$ effective unit weight of soil Equation 37 $\gamma_{3}=d r y$ unit weight of the soil
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of the soil
$d_{\text {pis }}=$ diameter of the pile
$h_{\text {jaod }}=$ height above the potential scour depth the load is applied

$$
K_{p}=\frac{1+\sin \phi}{1-\sin \phi}
$$

Equation 38
'Top 2' of pile embedment disregarded for calculation to account for vortex shedding.
${ }^{* *}$ Analysis also assumes that the resultant force is located at half of the flow depth on the upstream side of the LWM structure to produce a conservative moment on the pile.

## Factor of Safety

| $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {sliding }}=$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 7,369 | $\left.F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{\text {piles-h }}+F_{\text {passive }}\right) /\left(F_{d}+F_{h u}+F_{i}\right)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hu }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hd }}$ | lb |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 7,805 | lb |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $-6,340$ | lb |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {passive }}$ | $-39,238$ | lb |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {piles-h }}$ | $-8,359$ | lb |  |  |
| FOS $_{\text {sliding }}$ | 3.55 | lb |  |  |

Summary Comments:

## Rotation Calculations

Project:
Tucannon
Project Number

| Analyst: | ASD <br> Calculations Checked By: <br> Latest Revision:$\frac{\text { ALJ }}{\# \# \# \# \# \# \# \# \#}$ |
| ---: | :--- |

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against LWM structure horizontal rotation.

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structue behaves as a single structure under the design load.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible.
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event
4) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings

Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)
Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Output (Cells that are automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells that are automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:

$$
F O S_{\text {rolation }}=\frac{\text { MA Rratation }}{M D_{\text {ratartan }}} \quad \quad \text { Equation } 45
$$

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Rotation Factor of Safety (FOS rotation ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".
Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety <br> Risk | Property <br> Damage <br> Risk | Stability <br> Design Flow <br> Criteria | FOS $_{\text {stany }}$ | FOS $_{\text {bosyney }}$ | FOS $_{\text {reamen }}$ <br> FOS $_{\text {everumen }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10 -year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

1. Resistance to Rotation (MR rotation and $M D_{\text {rotation }}$ )


## Rotation Calculations

Project:
Project Number: | |

Analyst: ASD
Calculations Checked By: ALJ Latest Revision: \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## Overturning Calculations

| Project: | Tucannon |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | Project Number |



Latest Revision: $\qquad$

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against vertical overturning.

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible.
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event
4) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings

## Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)

Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Output (Cells automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:



Equation 49

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Overturn Factor of Safety ( $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {overturn }}$ ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".

1. Resistance to Overturn (MR rotation and $M D_{\text {rotation }}$ )

Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety <br> Risk | Property <br> Damage <br> Risk | Stability <br> Design Flow <br> Criteria | FOS $_{\text {stans }}$ | FOS $_{\text {boxpency }}$ | FOS $_{\text {nowen }}$ <br> FOS $_{\text {ewramna }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10 -year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

Driving:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 7,805 | Ib | Impact Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 7,369 | Ib | Drag Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hu }}$ |  | Ib | Upstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd in <br> Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $-2,211$ | Ib | Lift Forces (Assumed Zero in Buoyancy <br> Calcs) |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 4.5 | ft | Upstream water elevation from model |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ubury}}$ |  | ft | Depth at upstream side of structure <br> from channel bottom to point of rotation <br> measured perp to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  | ft | Length of structure parallel to flow |
| MD $_{\text {overturn }}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 7 0 4}$ | $\mathrm{lb} \mathrm{Ift}^{\mathrm{ft}}$ | Eqn 46 |

Resisting:
Resisting:

| $F_{\text {hd }}$ |  | Ib | Downstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd <br> in Sliding) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {passive }}$ | $-39,238$ | Ib | Passive Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 7,293 | Ib | Buoyancy Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-v }}$ | $-8,359$ | Ib | Lateral Resistance from Piles (Calc'd in <br> Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 4.5 | ft | Downstream water elevation |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {dbury }}$ | 4.5 | ft | Depth at downstream side of structure <br> from channel bottom to point of rotation <br> measured perp to flow |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {piles }}$ | 2 |  | Number of Piles (Design) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {pvi }}$ | 35 | ft | Distance from pile to the point of <br> rotation measured parallel to flow. |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-vi }}$ |  | Ib | Eqn 48 |
| $\mathrm{MR}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 , 5 7 0}$ | lb*ft | Eqn 47 |

Factor of Safety

| FOS $_{\text {overturnon }}=M R_{\text {overturn }} / M D_{\text {overturn }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MD}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $51,704 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{MR}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $176,570 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| FOS $_{\text {overturn }}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ | STABLE FOR OVERTURN |  |

$$
M D_{\text {overturn }}=F_{i} *\left(Y_{u}+d_{\text {bury }}\right)+F_{d} *\left(\frac{Y_{u}}{2}+d_{\text {bury }}\right)+F_{\text {hu }} *\left(\frac{Y_{u}}{3}+d u_{\text {bury }}\right)+\left|F_{L}\right| * L_{s}
$$

Equation 46


Overturning Calculations

| Project: <br> Project Number: | Tucannon <br> Project Number |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Summary Comments: |


| Analyst: | ASD |
| ---: | :--- |
| Calculations Checked By: |  |
| Latest Revision: | ALJ <br> $2 / 27 / 2023$ |

## Factor of Safety Summary

Project:

Tucannon
Project Number

| Analyst: | ASD |
| ---: | :--- |
| Calculations Checked By: |  |
| Latest Revision: | ALJ <br> $2 / 27 / 2023$ | ALJ 2/27/2023

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below summarizes the factors of safety for the LWD structure.
Assumptions:

| Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail) |
| :--- |
| Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model) |
| Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab) |
| Output (Cells automatically updated are this color) |
| Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this |

Tables and Equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F O S_{b}=\frac{F_{\text {LWM M }}+F_{\text {honiders }}+F_{\text {soul }}+F_{\text {pules }}}{\left|F_{\text {CWM }}+F_{L}\right|} \\
& F O S_{b}=\text { buoyancy factor of safety } \\
& F O S_{\text {sliding }}=\frac{\left|F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{p l t e s-h}+F_{\text {passtive }}\right|}{F_{d}+F_{h u}+F_{i}} \\
& F O S_{\text {rotatien }}=\frac{\text { Nen ratatioa }}{\text { MD } D_{\text {rararlan }}} \\
& F O S_{\text {overtirn }}=\frac{A+\sum_{\text {avertura }}}{M D_{\text {everturn }}} \\
& F O S_{D}=\text { buoyancy factor of safety } \\
& F O S_{\text {sididing }}=\frac{\left|F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{p l t e s-h+}+F_{\text {passive }}\right|}{F_{d}+F_{h u}+F_{i}} \\
& F O S_{\text {rotation }}=\frac{\text { NA } \|_{\text {ratarioa }}}{\text { ND, }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 18

Equation 41

Equation 45

Equation 49

## 1. Factors of Safety Summary

| Project Public Safety Risk | High |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project Property Damage Risk | Low |


| Safety Factors |  | Minimum Recommended <br> Safety Factor | Calculated Safety Factor | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buoyancy | FOS $_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.50 | 1.64 | OK! |
| Sliding | FOS $_{\text {sliding }}$ | 1.25 | 3.55 | OK! |
| Rotation | FOS $_{\text {rotation }}$ | 1.25 | 2.43 | OK! |
| Overturn | FOS $_{\text {overturn }}$ | 1.25 | 3.42 | OK! |

Summary Comments:

## Buoyancy Calculations

Project:<br>Project No.: Project Number

> Analyst:
> Calculations Checked By: ALJ
> Latest Revision:

## Spreadsheet Description

- The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against Buoyant uplift of the LWM

Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load and will not experience any shearing at joints
2) The LWM structure will be submerged during the design event.
3) Negative buoyancy is uplift, positive numbers equals downward.
4) Ballast material remains intact and is not scoured out.
5) The uplift due to racking material is evenly dividing among all layers.

Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)
Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list) Output (Cells automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:



```
FOS施 = buoyancy factor of safety
```

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design buoyancy factor of safety $\left(\mathrm{FOS}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".

| Public Safety Risk | Property Damage Risk | Stability Design Flow Criteria | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {atamg }}$ | FOS ${ }_{\text {bospen }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {netera }} \\ & \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {overaming }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10-year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

1. Large Wood Material Force - Dry ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {wmad }}$ )

$$
F_{L W M d}=V_{L W M d} * \gamma_{w o o d}
$$

Equation 3
$V_{L \text { LWMy }}=$ volume of dry large wood material
Comment: Assumed to be zero because structure assumed to be submerged during design event.

## 2. Boulder Ballast Force ( $F_{\text {boulder }}$ )

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ |  |  | Number of submerged boulders (from design) | $F_{\text {boulder }}=F_{\text {bouldersub }}+F_{\text {boulderdry }}$ | Equation 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ | 2.5 | ft | Effective diameter of submerged boulder (ft, from spec) | $\begin{aligned} & F_{\text {bouldersub }}=N_{\text {boulder sub }} * \frac{\pi}{*} * d_{\text {bouldersub }}^{3} *\left(\gamma_{\text {boulder }}-\gamma_{w}\right) \\ & N_{\text {bouldersub }}=\text { number of submerged boulders } \\ & d_{\text {bouldersub }}=\text { effective diameter of submerged boulders } \\ & \gamma_{\text {boulder }}=\text { unit weight of boulders } \end{aligned}$ | Equation 6 |
| $\gamma_{\text {boulder }}$ | 146 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | unit weight of boulders (Table 5) |  |  |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | unit weight of water |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bouldersub }}$ |  | lb | Eqn. 6 | $F_{\text {boulderdry }}=N_{\text {boulderdry }} * \frac{\pi}{6} * d_{\text {boulderdry }}^{3} * \gamma_{\text {boulder }}$ | Equation 7 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ |  |  | Number of dry boulders (from detail) | $N_{\text {boulderdry }}=$ number of unsubmerged boulders <br> $d_{\text {boulderdry }}=$ effective diameter of unsubmerged boulders |  |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ | 2.5 | ft | Effective diameter of dry boulder (ft, from spec) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {boulderdry }}$ |  | Ib | Eqn. 7 |  |  |
| $F_{\text {boulder }}$ |  | lb | Eqn. 5 |  |  |

Comment: The intent is design without the use of boulders so it is assumed no boulders are used.

## Buoyancy Calculations

Project:
Project No.:

Tucannon
Project Number
Analyst:
ASD
Calculations Checked By: $\qquad$ 2/28/2023
Latest Revision:

## 3. Soil Backfill Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}$ )

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of Type 1 buried logs (from detail) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leb1 | 20 | ft | Average embedded length of Type 1 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.25 | ft | Average diameter of Type 1 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub1 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {soilsub1 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soildry1 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {soildry1 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 1 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of Type 2 buried logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eb} 2}$ | 27 | ft | Average embedded length of Type 2 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Average diameter of Type 2 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub2 }}$ | 3 | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soilsub2 }}$ | 284 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soildry2 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soildry2 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 2 log (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ |  |  | Number of Type 3 buried logs (from detail) |
| Leb3 | 25 | ft | Average embedded length of Type 3 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Average diameter of Type 3 logs (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {soilsub3 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of submerged soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soilsub3 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of submerged soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $h_{\text {soildry3 }}$ |  | ft | Average height of dry soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $V_{\text {soildry3 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of dry soil above Type 3 log (from detail) |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 131 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Specific Gravity of bank/backfill material (Table 5) |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| SG ${ }_{\text {rock }}$ | 2.64 |  | Specific Gravity of Rock (Using unit weight of bedrock from Table 5) |
| e | 0.26 |  | Eqn. 14 |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 144 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 13 |
| $\gamma$ soil | 81.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 12 |
| $F_{\text {soil }}$ | 23,071 | Ib | Eqn. 8 |

$F_{\text {soil }}=\sum_{i}^{n} V_{\text {soilsub }_{i}} * \gamma_{\text {soil }_{\prime}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+V_{\text {soildry }_{i}} * \gamma_{\text {soll }}$
Equation 8
$V_{\text {soilsub }_{i}}=L_{\text {eb }_{i}} d_{\text {bole }_{i}} h_{\text {soilsub }_{i}}$
$V_{\text {soilsubi }}=$ volume of submerged soil above $\log i$
$L_{e b i}=$ embedded length of $\log i$
$d_{\text {bolei }}=$ bole diameter of log $i$
$h_{\text {soilsubi }}=$ height of submerged soil above log $i$
$V_{\text {soildry }_{i}}=L_{e b_{i}} d_{\text {bole }_{i}} h_{\text {soildry }}^{i}$
Equation 10
$V_{\text {soildryi }}=$ volume of dry soil above log $i$
$h_{\text {soildryi }}=$ height of dry soil above $\log i$

$$
\gamma_{\text {soil }}=\left(99.2+18.6 * \log \left(d_{50}\right)\right)
$$

Equation 11
$d_{50}=$ median grain size in millimeters
$\gamma_{\text {soil }}^{\prime}=\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}$
Equation 12
$\gamma_{\text {sat }}=\frac{\left(S G_{\text {rock }}+e\right) * \gamma_{w}}{1+e} \quad$ Equation 13
$e=\frac{s G_{\text {rock }} * \gamma_{w}}{\gamma_{\text {soil }}}-1$
Equation 14

## Buoyancy Calculations

Project:
Project No.:

Tucannon
Project Number

## 4. Pile Skin Friction

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {piles }}$ |  |  | Number of piles (Design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {piles }}$ | 0.83 | ft | Diameter of piles (Design) |
| $L_{\text {piles }}$ | 8 | ft | Embedded length of piles (Design) |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 1 |  | Coefficient of lateral earth pressure ( 0.5 to 1.5 depending on soil and density) |
| Placement Method | Excavated |  | Method of pile placement |
| Placement Multiplier | 0.25 |  | See RBDG (P. 52) |
| Pile <br> Placement Location | Bed |  | Bed or Bank |
| soil | 0.72 | rad | Internal angle of friction of soils (Table 5) |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 137 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Specific Weight of Soil |
| e | 0.20 |  | Eqn. 14 |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 148 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Eqn. 13 |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
|  | 681 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Eqn 16 |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of wood |
| $F_{\text {piles-v }}$ |  | Ib | Eqn 15 |

$F_{\text {piles-v }}=N_{\text {piles }} * \pi * d_{\text {piles }} * L_{\text {piles }}\left(k_{s} * \tan \frac{2}{3} \emptyset * \sigma^{\prime}+\frac{d_{\text {pties }}}{4} *\left(\gamma_{\text {wood }}-\gamma_{w}\right)\right)$
Equation 15
$N_{\text {piles }}=$ number of piles
$d_{\text {piles }}=$ diameter of piles
$L_{\text {pres }}=$ embedded length of piles
$k_{s}=$ coefficient of lateral earth pressure ( 0.5 to 1.5 depending on soil and density)
$\phi=$ internal angle of friction of soils
$\sigma^{\prime}=L_{\text {piles }} *\left(\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}\right) \quad$ Equation 16

Assumptions:
${ }^{*} k_{\mathrm{s}}=1$
** This calculation is based on the assumption that piles are driven or vibrated into place. If piles are drilled or excavated, the associated coefficicient of lateral earth pressures shall be approx. $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of the driven value, respectively.
*** For use in buoyancy calculations, piles must be mechanically fastened.
**** Top 2' of pile embedment disregarded for calculation to account for vortex shedding.
5. Large Wood Material Force - Submerged ( $F_{\text {wMs }}$ )
$F_{L W M A}=V_{L W M s} *\left(\gamma_{\text {wood }}-\gamma_{w}\right)$
$V_{\text {Lwns }}=$ volume of submerged large wood material
$\gamma_{\text {wood }}=$ unit weight of wood
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of water

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of log type 1 (from detail) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {log1 }}$ | 20 | ft | Length of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.25 | ft | Diameter of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rw1 }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Diameter of rootwad of log type 1 <br> (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {LWMs1 }}$ | 25 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM1 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb2 }}$ | 40 | ft | Length of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rw2 }}$ | 4.38 | ft | Diameter of rootwad of log type 2 <br> (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {LWMs2 }}$ | 227 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ |  |  | Number of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb3 }}$ | 40 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Length of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 3 (from detail) |

Volume of Rootwad
National Large Wood Manual. 2016
Equation 6-4 (p. 6-38)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rw}}=\pi^{*} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} / 3$
$\pi^{*}\left(2 \mathrm{~d}_{\text {bole }}\right)^{*}\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{rw}}\right)^{2} / 3$
$t_{k}=$ Thickness of rootwad measured in direction parallel to trunk
$=4$ times the radius of the $\log \left(4 r_{k}\right.$ or
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}=$
Radius of rootwad

## Buoyancy Calculations

Project:
Project No.:
Tucannon
Project Number

| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{rw3}}$ |  | ft | Diameter of rootwad of log type 3 <br> (from detail) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{LWMs} 3}$ |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM3 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{LWMs}}$ | 252 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of LWM |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33.0 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of logs |
| $\gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{LWMs}}$ | $-7,410$ | lb | Eqn. 3 |

## 6. Lift Forces ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ )

| $C_{L}$ | 0.45 |  | Lift Coefficient |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A_{L W M}$ | 75 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Calc'd in Drag Forces |
| $\gamma_{w}$ | 62.4 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | upstream velocity (from model) |
| g | 32.2 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $-1,382$ | lb | Eqn. 4 |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Analyst: } & \text { ASD } \\ \text { Calculations Checked By: } & \text { ALJ }\end{aligned}$
$\qquad$
Latest Revision:

$$
2 / 28 / 2023
$$

$=2.5$ times the radius of the $\log \left(2.5 r_{k}\right.$ or $1.25 \mathrm{~d}_{\text {bole }}$ ) or $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{rw}}$ specified

## $F_{L}=-\frac{c_{L} * A_{L W M} * Y_{W} * U_{O}^{2}}{2 * g}$

$c_{L}=$ lift coefficient
$A_{L W M}=$ area of large woody material perpendicular to flow $u_{u}=$ upstream channel velocity at design event $g=a c c e l e r a t i o n ~ d u e ~ t o ~ g r a v i t y ~$

Comment: Lift forces neglected per Section 6.4.2 of BOR Risk Based Design Guidelines

## Factor of Safety

| $\mathrm{FOS}_{b}=$ | $\left(F_{\text {LMDd }}+F_{\text {boulders }}+F_{\text {soil }}+F_{\text {piles-v }}\right) /\left(F_{\text {LWMs }}+F_{L}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMd }}$ | lb | Assumed Zero |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {boulder }}$ | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {soil }}$ | 23,071 lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {piles-v }}$ | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {LWMs }}$ | -7,410 lb |  |
| $F_{L}$ | -1,382 lb |  |
| $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {b }}$ | 2.62 | STABLE FOR BUOYANCY |

Summary Comments:

## Sliding Calculations

Project:
Project No.:

Tucannon
Project Number

| Analyst: | ASD <br> Calculations Checked By: <br> Latest Revision:$\frac{\text { ALJ }}{\text { \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# }}$ |
| ---: | :--- |

Analyst: ASD
Latest Revision: \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against LWM sliding

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load and will not experience any shearing at joints.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event.
4) Channel velocity $\left(V_{c}\right)$ taken from hydraulic model.
5) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings.

Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)
Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Output (Cells automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:

$$
F O S_{\text {sliding }}=\frac{\left|F_{\text {ha }}+F_{f}+F_{\text {plless }}-\Lambda+F_{\text {passivel }}\right|}{F_{d}+F_{\text {hu }}+F_{h}} \quad \quad \quad \text { Equation } 41
$$

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Sliding Factor of Safety ( FOS $_{\text {sliding }}$ ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".


## Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety Risk | Property Damage Risk | Stability Design Flow Criteria | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {tuns }}$ | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {baxame }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{FOS}_{\text {maceen }} \\ \mathrm{FOS} \text { oumminien } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100-jear | 1.75 | 20 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 20 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10-year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

## 1. Drag Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )

| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 3.50 | ft | Upstream water depth |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| hdebris | 5 | ft | Debris height (incl. accumulation) |  |
| wdebris | 15 | ft | Debris width (incl. accumulation) |  |
| Debris Shape | Rectangle |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L} \text { wM }}$ | 75 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Wetted area of LWM |  |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.40 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |  |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 6.50 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Velocity from Model |  |
| g | 32.20 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Acceleration due to gravity |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 75.00 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | Debris area |  |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\text {channel }}$ | 60 | ft | Channel width |  |
| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 1.50 |  | NLWM Worst Case |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{d}=\frac{C_{D} * A_{L W M} * \gamma_{w} * U_{c}^{2}}{2 * g}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Equation } 19 .
$$

Cd can be assumed 0.9 when fully submerged, 1.5 when WSEL withii

$$
C_{d-a p p l i e d}=\frac{C_{d}}{(1-B)^{2}}
$$

## Sliding Calculations

Project: Tucannon
Project No.: Project Number

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Analyst: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { ASD } \\
\text { Calculations Checked By: } \\
\text { Latest Revision: } \\
\text { ALJ } \\
\text { \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Impact Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {debris }}$ | 40 | ft | Length of debris member (Design) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {boledebris }}$ | 1.5 | ft | Bole diameter of debris member (Design) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {rwdebris }}$ | 3 | ft | Rootwad diameter of debris member (Design) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {debris }}$ | 78 | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Volume of debris |
| $\gamma_{\text {wood }}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of wood |
| $\mathrm{w}_{\text {debris }}$ | 2,566 | Ib | weight of debris |
| g | 32.2 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Acceleration due to gravity |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {channel }}$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | Velocity from Model |
| $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | 0.03 | sec | Impact Interval ( 0.03 sec recommended) |
| $\mathrm{Ci}_{i}$ | 0.8 |  | Coefficient of importance (from Table 6) |
| Co | 0.8 |  | Coefficient of orientation |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.625 |  | Figure 11 (need water depth from model) |
| Degree of Screening or Sheltering Upstream | Limited upstream screening, flow path $20^{\prime}$ wide |  | ASCE 7-05 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.6 |  | ASCE 7-05 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.8 |  | Response ratio for impulsive loads |
|  |  |  |  |
| Fi | 5,207 | 1 lb | Eqn 30 |

$$
F_{i}=\frac{\pi w_{\text {debris }}{ }^{*} V_{\text {channel }}{ }^{*} C_{i^{*}} C_{o^{*}} C_{d^{*} * C_{b} * R_{\text {max }}}^{2 *}}{2 * \Delta t}
$$

Equation 30

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}=\text { impact force } \\
w_{\text {debris }}=\text { weight of debris } \\
g=\text { acceleration constant due to gravity } \\
V_{\text {channel }}=\text { water velocity in channel } \\
\Delta t=\text { time from initial velocity to zero velocity }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
C_{i}=\text { coefficient of importance }
$$

$$
C_{o}=\text { coefficient of orientation }=0.8
$$

$$
C_{d}=\text { coefficient of depth }
$$

$$
C_{b}=\text { coefficient of blockage }
$$

$R_{\text {max }}=$ response ratio for impulsive loads $=0.8$

Assumption:
*Largest impact force would be generated by structure being struck by floating large key member. For impact calculation, assuming $\mathbf{1 8 " ~}^{\prime \prime}$ diameter, 30 ' long member with rootwad impacts structure.
**See Section 6.3.3 of LWM RBDG (P. 44) for debris loading sizing.
|4. Friction Force ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ )

| $\Phi_{\text {bed }}$ | 0.72 | radians | Calculated for streambed material <br> (small cobble) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mu_{\text {bed }}$ | 0.87 |  | Eqn 32 |
| $F_{\text {LWMd }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {boulder }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {soil }}$ | 23071 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {pies-v }}$ |  | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {LWM }}$ | -7410 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {L }}$ | -1382 | Ib | Buoyancy Calcs |
| $F_{\text {b }}$ | 14,279 | Ib | Eqn 17 |
| $F_{f}$ | $-12,413$ | Ib | Eqn 31 |
| Neter |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad F_{f}=-\mu_{\text {bed }} *\left(F_{b}-F_{\text {piles-v }}\right) \\
& F_{f}=\text { force due to frictional resistance } \\
& F_{0}-F_{\text {pioserv }}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 31

$$
\mu_{b e d}=\tan \emptyset
$$

Equation 32

$$
F_{b}=F_{L W M s}+F_{L W M d}+F_{L}+F_{\text {boulder }}+F_{\text {soil }}+F_{\text {piles-v }}
$$

Note:
*If buoyancy forces are less than vertical pile forces (Fb-Fpiles-v<0), then friction force $=\mathbf{0}$.

## Sliding Calculations

Project:
Tucannon
Project No.:

Project Number

Analyst: $\qquad$ ALJ AL

Latest Revision: \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## 5. Passive Forces ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {nassiva }}$ )

| $\phi_{\text {bank }}$ | 0.70 | radians | Calculated for bank material (very course gravel) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 4.60 |  | Eqn 34 |
| $\gamma_{\text {water }}$ | 62.4 | $1 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{3}$ | Unit weight of water |
| $\gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 131 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Unit weight of soil |
| $\gamma_{\text {sat }}$ | 144 | $1 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | Previously calculated for buoyancy calcs |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub1 }}$ | 1 |  | Number of log type 1 (from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }_{1}{ }^{*}$ | Perpendicular |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eb} 1}$ | 20 | ft | Length of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole1 }}$ | 1.25 | ft | Diameter of log type 1 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub1 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of submerged soil above log 1 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {dry }}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above log 1 |
| $\sigma_{v 1}$ |  | 1b/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} 1} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {eb } 1}{ }^{*} \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ |  | Ib |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub2 }}$ | 2 |  | Number of log type 2 (from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }^{\text {*** }}$ | Perpendicular |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eb} 2}$ | 27 | ft | Length of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole2 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 2 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub2 }}$ | 3 | ft | Depth of submerged soil above $\log 2$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dr} \text { 2 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above $\log 2$ |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} 2}$ | 244 | Ib/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{v} 2} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {eb2 }} * \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ | 23,071 | Ib |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {logssub3 }}$ |  |  | Number of log type 3(from detail) |
| Orientation ${ }^{\text {"* }}$ | Parallel |  | Perpendicular or Parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eb3 }}$ | 40 | ft | Length of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {bole3 }}$ | 1.75 | ft | Diameter of log type 3 (from detail) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {sub3 }}$ |  | ft | Depth of submerged soil above log 3 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}$ |  | ft | Depth of dry soil above $\log 3$ |
| $\sigma_{v 3}$ |  | lb/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| $\sigma_{\text {v3 }} * L_{\text {eb3 }}{ }^{*} \gamma_{\text {soil }}$ |  | Ib |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $F_{\text {passive }}$ | -53,050 | Ib | Eqn 31 |

4.59890993
$F_{\text {passive }}=-0.5 * K_{p} * \sum_{i}^{n} \sigma_{v_{l}} * L_{e m l} * d_{l o g}$
$K_{p}=\frac{1+\sin \phi}{1-\sin \phi} \quad$ Equ
$\sigma_{v_{i}}=D_{\text {sub }_{i}} *\left(\gamma_{\text {sut }}-\gamma_{\text {water }}\right)+D_{\text {dry }_{i}} * \gamma_{\text {soll }} \quad$ Equ
$D_{\text {sud }}=$ depth of submerged soil above $\log i$
$D_{\text {ary }}=$ depth of dry soil above $\log i$
$L_{\text {eni }}=$ embedded length of $\log i$
$d_{\text {log }}=$ diameter of $\log i$

## Sliding Calculations

Project:
Project No.:

Tucannon
Project Number
\(\begin{aligned} Analyst: \& \begin{array}{l}ASD <br>
Calculations Checked By: <br>

Latest Revision:\end{array}\)|  ALJ  |
| :--- |
| $\# \# \# \# \# \# \# \#$ |\end{aligned}


$N_{\text {pievs }}=$ number of piles
$L_{\text {pis }}=$ length of pile embedded below potential scour depth
$\gamma_{e}=\gamma_{s}-\gamma_{w} \quad$ effective unit weight of soil Equation 37 $\gamma_{2}=d r y$ unit weight of the soil
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of the soil
$d_{\text {pis }}=$ diameter of the pile
$h_{\text {jaod }}=$ height above the potential scour depth the load is applied

$$
K_{p}=\frac{1+\sin \phi}{1-\sin \phi}
$$



* Top 2' of pile embedment disregarded for calculation to account for vortex shedding.
${ }^{* *}$ Analysis also assumes that the resultant force is located at half of the flow depth on the upstream side of the LWM structure to produce a conservative moment on the pile.


## Factor of Safety

| FOS ${ }_{\text {sliding }}=$ | $\left(F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{\text {piles-h }}+F_{\text {passive }}\right) /\left(F_{d}+F_{h u}+F_{i}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {d }}$ | 4,606 | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hu }}$ |  | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hd }}$ |  | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 5,207 | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | -12,413 | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {passive }}$ | -53,050 | lb |  |
| $F_{\text {piles-h }}$ |  | lb |  |
| $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {sliding }}$ | 6.67 |  | STABLE FOR SLIDING |

Summary Comments:

## Rotation Calculations

Project: Tucannon
Project Number: Project Number

| Analyst: | $\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { ASD } \\ \text { Calculations Checked By: } \\ \text { Latest Revision: } & \frac{\text { ALJ }}{\# \# \# \# \# \# \# \# \#} \\ \text { Lat }\end{array}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- |

Latest Revision: \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against LWM structure horizontal rotation.

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structue behaves as a single structure under the design load.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible.
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event
4) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings

Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)
Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Output (Cells that are automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells that are automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:

$$
F O S_{\text {rolation }}=\frac{\text { NAR ratation }}{\text { MDratarlan }} \quad \quad \text { Equation } 45
$$

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Rotation Factor of Safety (FOS rotation ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".
Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety <br> Risk | Property <br> Damage <br> Risk | Stability <br> Design Flow <br> Criteria | FOS $_{\text {stany }}$ | FOS $_{\text {boxmey }}$ | FOS $_{\text {nowen }}$ <br> FOS $_{\text {evrumen }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100 -year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10 -year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

1. Resistance to Rotation ( $M R_{\text {rotation }}$ and $M D_{\text {rotation }}$ )

| $M D_{\text {rotation }}=\left(F_{i}+F_{d}+F_{h u}\right) *\left(\frac{L_{s p}+L_{e b p}}{2}\right)$ |
| :---: |
| $L_{s p}=$ length of wood structure from tip to point of rotation measured |
| perpendicular to flow |

$L_{\text {ebp }}=$ embedded length of wood structure measured perpendicular to flow

$M R_{\text {rotation }}=|$| $\left.F_{h d} *\left(\frac{L_{s p}+L_{\text {ebp }}}{2}\right)+F_{\text {passive }} * \frac{L_{e b p}}{2}+F_{f} * \frac{L_{s p}}{2}+\sum_{i}^{n} F_{p i l e-h_{i}} * L_{p h_{i}} \right\rvert\,$ |
| :--- |
| Equation 43 |

$F_{\text {pile-h }}=\frac{F_{\text {piles-h }}}{N_{\text {piles }}}$

$L_{p h i}=$| distance from pile ' $i$ ' to the point of rotation measured perpendicular to |
| :--- |
| flow |

Driving:
Driving:

| $L_{\text {sp }}$ | 12 | ft | Length of wood structure from tip to point of rotation <br> measured perpendicular to flow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $L_{\text {ebp }}$ | 7 | ft | Embedded length of wood structure measured perp. to <br> flow |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 5,207 | lb | Impact Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 4,606 | Ib | Drag Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hu }}$ |  | lb | Upstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{MD}_{\text {rotation }}$ | 93,220 | lb*ft | Eqn 42 |


| Resisting: |
| :--- |
| $F_{\text {hd }}$  Ib Downstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {passive }}$ $-53,050$ Ib Passive Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ $-12,413$ Ib Friction Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-h }}$  Ib Lateral Resistance from Piles (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-hi }}$  Ib Lateral Resistance from Piles (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\text {piles }}$   Number of Piles (Design) <br> $\mathrm{L}_{\text {phi }}$ 25 ft $\begin{array}{l}\text { Distance from pile to the point of rotation measured } \\ \text { perp. to flow. }\end{array}$ <br> MR $_{\text {rotation }}$ $\mathbf{2 6 0 , 1 5 3}$ Ib*ft Eqn 43 |

## Factor of Safety

| FOS $_{\text {rotation }}=$ | $M R_{\text {rotation }} / M D_{\text {rotation }}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MD}_{\text {rotation }}$ | $93,220 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{MR}_{\text {rotation }}$ | $260,153 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| FOS $_{\text {rotation }}$ | 2.79 |  | STABLE FOR ROTATION |

## Summary Comments:



## Rotation Calculations

Project:
Project Number: | |

Analyst: ASD
Calculations Checked By: ALJ Latest Revision: \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## Overturning Calculations

| Project: | Tucannon |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | Project Number |



Latest Revision: $\qquad$

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below is used to calculate the Factor of Safety against vertical overturning.

## Assumptions:

1) The LWM structure behaves as a single structure under the design load.
2) The effect of soil in back of the structure is negligible.
3) The structure will be submerged during the design event
4) This LWM structure experiences the largest loads. All LWM structures on site will be designed based on this LWM structure's loadings

## Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail)

Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model)
Input (Cells requiring input from a dropdown list)
Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab)
Output (Cells automatically updated are this color)
Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this color)

## FBD and Equations:



Equation 49

* PROJECT NAME has a "XXXX" Public Safety Risk Factor and a "XXXX" Property Damage Risk Factor. The Design Overturn Factor of Safety ( $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {overturn }}$ ) for this structure is \#.\# per Table 4 "Minimum Recommended Factors of Safety".

1. Resistance to Overturn (MR rotation and $M D_{\text {rotation }}$ )

Table 4. Minimum recommended factors of safety.

| Public Safety Risk | Property Damage Risk | Stability Design Flow Criteria | $\mathrm{FOS}_{3 \text { tam9 }}$ | $\mathrm{FOS}_{\text {baxmey }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOS }_{\text {nowen }} \\ & \text { FOS }_{\text {everumen }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| High | Moderate | 50-year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| High | Low | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | High | 100-year | 1.75 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| Low | Moderate | 25 -year | 1.5 | 1.75 | 1.5 |
| Low | Low | 10 -year | 1.25 | 1.5 | 1.25 |

Driving:

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 5,207 | Ib | Impact Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 4,606 | Ib | Drag Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hu }}$ |  | Ib | Upstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd in <br> Sliding) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $-1,382$ | Ib | Lift Forces (Assumed Zero in Buoyancy <br> Calcs) |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 3.5 | ft | Upstream water elevation from model |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {ubury }}$ |  | ft | Depth at upstream side of structure <br> from channel bottom to point of rotation <br> measured perp to flow |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  | ft | Length of structure parallel to flow |
| $\mathrm{MD}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 2 8 5}$ | $\mathrm{Ib}^{*} \mathrm{ft}$ | Eqn 46 |

$$
M D_{\text {overturn }}=F_{i} *\left(Y_{u}+d_{\text {bury }}\right)+F_{d} *\left(\frac{Y_{u}}{2}+d_{\text {bury }}\right)+F_{\text {hu }} *\left(\frac{Y_{u}}{3}+d u_{\text {bury }}\right)+\left|F_{L}\right| * L_{s}
$$

Equation 46

| $d u_{\text {bur }}=$ depth at the upstream side of the structure from channel bottom to point of rotation measured perpendicular to flow |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $L s=$ length of structure measured parallel to flow |  |
| $M R_{\text {overturn }}=\left\|F_{\text {hd }}\right\| *\left(\frac{Y_{a}}{2}+d d_{\text {bury }}\right)+\left\|F_{\text {passive }}\right\| *\left(d d_{\text {bury }}\right)+\left(F_{b}-F_{L}-\right.$ |  |
| $\left.F_{\text {piles-v }}\right) * \frac{L_{s}}{2}+\sum_{i}^{n} F_{\text {pile-v }}{ }^{*} * L p v_{i}$ | Equation 47 |
| $F_{\text {pile-v }}{ }_{\text {d }}=\frac{F_{\text {pltes }-v}}{N_{\text {plles }}}$ | Equation 48 |
| $L_{p v i}=$ distance from pile ' $i$ ' to the point of rotation me | el to flow |
| $F O S_{\text {overturn }}=\frac{M R_{\text {overturn }}}{M D_{\text {overtur }}}$ | Equation 49 |

Resisting:

| Resisting: |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {hd }}$  Ib Downstream Hydrostatic Forces (Calc'd <br> in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {passive }}$ $-53,050$ Ib Passive Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}$ 14,279 Ib Buoyancy Forces (Calc'd in Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-v }}$  Ib Lateral Resistance from Piles (Calc'd in <br> Sliding) <br> $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{d}}$ 3.5 ft Downstream water elevation <br> $\mathrm{d}_{\text {dbury }}$ 6 ft Depth at downstream side of structure <br> from channel bottom to point of rotation <br> measured perp to flow <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\text {piles }}$   Number of Piles (Design) <br> $\mathrm{L}_{\text {pvi }}$  ft Distance from pile to the point of <br> rotation measured parallel to flow. <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\text {pile-vi }}$  Ib Eqn 48 <br> $\mathrm{MR}_{\text {overturn }}$ 318,303 $\mathrm{Ib} \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ Eqn 47 |

Factor of Safety

| FOS $_{\text {overturnon }}=M R_{\text {overturn }} / M D_{\text {overturn }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MD}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $26,285 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{MR}_{\text {overturn }}$ | $318,303 \quad \mathrm{lb}$ |  |  |
| FOS $_{\text {overturn }}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 1}$ | STABLE FOR OVERTURN |  |

Overturning Calculations

| Project: |
| :--- |
| Project |

Sumber:

| Analyst: | ASD |
| ---: | :--- |
| Calculations Checked By: |  |
| Latest Revision: | ALJ <br> $2 / 28 / 2023$ |

## Factor of Safety Summary

| Project: | Tucannon |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | Project Numbe |


| Analyst: | ASD |
| ---: | :--- |
| Calculations Checked By: |  |
| Latest Revision: | ALJ <br> $2 / 28 / 2023$ |

## Spreadsheet Description

Purpose: The spreadsheet below summarizes the factors of safety for the LWD structure.
Assumptions:

| Input (Cells Requiring Input from Structure Detail) |
| :--- |
| Input (Cells requiring Input from Hydraulic Model) |
| Input (Cells automatically populated from Input to Interface Tab) |
| Output (Cells automatically updated are this color) |
| Output (Cells automatically updated with previously calculated values are this |

## Tables and Equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F O S_{b}=\frac{F_{\text {LWM M }}+F_{\text {honiders }}+F_{\text {soul }}+F_{\text {pules }}}{\left|F_{\text {CWM }}+F_{L}\right|} \\
& F O S_{D}=\text { buoyancy factor of safety } \\
& F O S_{\text {sliding }}=\frac{\left|F_{h d}+F_{f}+F_{p l l e s-h}+F_{\text {passive }}\right|}{F_{d}+F_{h u}+F_{i}} \\
& F O S_{\text {rotatien }}=\frac{\text { Nen ratatioa }}{\text { MDPararlan }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F O S_{D}=\text { buoyancy factor of safety }
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 41

$$
\text { Equation } 45
$$

Equation 49

## 1. Factors of Safety Summary

| Project Public Safety Risk | High |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project Property Damage Risk | Low |


| Safety Factors |  | Minimum Recommended <br> Safety Factor | Calculated Safety Factor | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buoyancy | FOS $_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.5 | 2.62 | OK! |
| Sliding | FOS $_{\text {sliding }}$ | 1.25 | 6.67 | OK! |
| Rotation | FOS $_{\text {rotation }}$ | 1.25 | 2.79 | OK! |
| Overturn | FOS $_{\text {overturn }}$ | 1.25 | 12.11 | OK! |

Summary Comments:

